City of Graham Planning Board
Meeting Agenda

May 16th, 2023, at 6:30 PM<br>Council Chambers, Graham<br>City Hall

1. Meeting called to order.
2. Roll Call and confirmation of quorum.
3. Pledge of allegiance and moment of silence or invocation.
4. Overview of board and general meeting rules.
5. Approval of the April 18, 2023, meeting minutes.
6. New Business:
a. RZ2302-Kimrey Road - Scott Mayo
b. SUP2301-E Interstate Service Road Truck Storage
7. Public comment on non-agenda items.
8. Staff comment.
9. Adjournment.

PLANNING BOARD MINUTES
Tuesday, April 18, 2023

The Planning \& Zoning Board held its regular meeting on Tuesday, April 18, 2023.
Members present were Eric Crissman, Tony Bailey, Dean Ward, Jerome Bias and Michael Benesch. Members absent were John Wooten and Chad Huffine. Staff members present were Planner Cameron West and Planning Intern Declan Perkins.

1. Chair Ward called the meeting to order at 6:30 P.M., and he gave the overview of the board and general meeting rules.
2. Roll Call and confirmation of quorum.
3. Pledge of allegiance and moment of silence or invocation.
4. Overview of board and general meeting rules.

5 Approval of the March 21, 2023 meeting minutes. Eric Crissman made a motion to approve minutes. Seconded by Dean Ward. All voted Aye.
6. New Business:
a. Cameron West presented the staff report. Chair Ward noted he had spoken with adjoining property owners and asked the board if they had any thoughts on a potential conflict of interest. The board decided against the conflict of interest and Chair Ward could continue. Chair Ward opened the public hearing. Presentation by Paul Lawler for a request to rezone 53.904 acres at 0 S NC 87 HWY from R-18 to R-9 for the extension of Phase 4 of the Rogers Springs Development. Harvey Scholz spoke against the proposal due to the potential amount of water runoff. Chair Ward and Cameron West noted that stormwater calculations were reviewed for pre and post stormwater runoff. Kristen Foust spoke on the proposal and wanted to ensure there were stub out connections into their property once construction was complete. West noted that the Technical Review Committee would potentially require the stub outs for connection as the City of Graham's Development Ordinance requires it where feasible. Chair Ward closed the public hearing. The planning board discussed the following items- street connections, traffic, and building design with the same developer. Eric Crissman made a motion to approve this re-zoning with these conditions. Toney Bailey made the second. 2 voted aye, 3 voted no. Another motion was made to recommend denial. Michael Benesch made the motion to recommend denial. Jerome Bias made the second. 4 voted aye, 1 voted no.

Paul Lawler 10130 Perimeter Parkway, Suite 100 Charlotte, NC 28216<br>Harvey Scholz 501 Still Run Ln Graham, NC 27253<br>Kristen Foust 1851 S Main St Graham, NC 27253

7. Public comment on non-agenda items. None was presented
8. Staff comments- Cameron West did not have any comments outside of the current plan changes to the PED plan and Future Land Use Plan.
9. Additional Items of Discussion - Jerome Bias asked about progress on round-a-bout. West noted he was not sure and it would be best to reach out to the administration folks in City Hall. Eric Crissman asked about the amount of land left for residential development. West noted he could get a rough number for them at the next meeting.
10. Adjournment. - Chair Ward made a motion to adjourn. Seconded by Michael Benesch

Meeting adjourned at 7:21 P.M.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of April 2023, Cameron West

Planner

STAFF REPORT
Prepared by Cameron West,Planner

O Kimrey Road - Scott Mayo I-1 (RZ2302)
Type of Request: Rezoning
Meeting Dates
Planning Board: May 16, 2023
City Council: June 13, 2023

## Summary

This is a request to rezone 67 acres of property from Un-zoned to I-1 (Light Industrial) for the purpose of developing an industrial site in the future. The property is inside the Employment District future land use zone. The future land use plan notes that the Employment District is a joint land use area between Mebane, Graham, and Alamance County. The desired pattern for this area is accommodate a range of employers and provide office space, industrial space, commercial space, institutional space, and residential housing. This request is accompanied by an annexation that will be heard at the June City Council meeting.


| $0 \frac{\text { Location }}{\text { KIMREY RD }}$ |
| :---: |
| $9803 \frac{\text { GPIN: }}{3172212}$ |
| Current Zoning <br> Un-zoned |
| Proposed Zoning |
| I-1 (Light Industrial) |
| Overlay District |
| N/A <br> Surrounding Zoning |
| Un-zoned, I-1 <br> Surrounding Land Uses |
| Vacant, Single-Family $\underset{67 \text { acres }}{\underline{\text { Size }}}$ |
| Public Water \& Sewer |
| Will be extended Floodplain |
| No <br> Staff Recommendation |
| Approval |



Zoning Map


Future Land Use Map

## Conformity to the Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan (GCP) and Other Adopted Plans

## Applicable Policies;

- 2.4.1 NC Commerce Park. Promote growth of Graham's primary job creation opportunity, a joint planning area known as the North Carolina Commerce Park (NCCP), due to its location, regional accessibility, and high developable status. Permitting Light Industrial zoning would allow for the anticipated land uses likely to occupy this parcel in the future
- 4.3.1 Land Use Patterns. Promote development of efficient land use patterns to allow continued quality and efficiency of water systems. Discourage the extension of water service into areas that are not most suitable for development. The site would connect to existing city infrastructure, with redundant access and water and sewer connections.


## Applicable Strategies;

- 2.2.1 Job Development. Provide support and assistance to the business recruitment efforts of the Alamance County Chamber of Commerce, seeking to attract a range of employment opportunities. The Chamber is actively attempting to recruit businesses like those that would choose to occupy this parcel.


## Staff Recommendation:

Based on the Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan and the City of Graham Development Ordinance, staff recommends approval of the rezoning. The following supports this recommendation:

- Rezoning the property would be in consistence with the Employment District type (Policy 2.4.1) and will allow land uses which are likely to attract employment opportunities within the City of Graham (Strategy 2.2.1).


## Planning Board Recommendation:

Description of Development Type
Employment District
Contains several uses, including farms, single family homes, natural groundcover, and warehousing.

Provide office space, industrial space, commercial space, institutional space, and residential housing.

This should be planned to preserve open space and open corridors, and develop highquality and adaptable buildings for a variety of companies.

Should support the viability of safe walking and bicycling as a serious form of transportation, while also ensuring the most efficient transportation network possible for freight trucks and other heavy vehicles that require regional access.

## Appropriate Form

6 DU/acre, or 0.5 Commercial/ Industrial FAR

## PLANNING BOARD

Recommendation \& Statement of Consistency

Per NCGS 160D-701, zoning regulations shall be made in accordance with an adopted comprehensive plan and any other officially adopted plan that is applicable. The Planning Board shall advise and comment on whether the proposed amendment is consistent with "The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan" and any other officially adopted plan that is applicable. The Planning Board shall provide a written recommendation to the City Council that addresses plan consistency and other matters as deemed appropriate by the Planning Board, but a comment by the Planning Board that a proposed amendment is inconsistent with "The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan" shall not preclude consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the City Council.

## 0 Kimrey Rd - Scott Mayo (RZ2302)

Type of Request
Rezoning
Meeting Dates
Planning Board on May 16, 2023
City Council on June 13, 2023I move to recommend APPROVAL of the application as presented.I move to recommend DENIAL.The application is consistent with The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan.
The application is not fully consistent with The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan.

The action is reasonable and in the public interest for the following reasons:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

This report reflects the recommendation of the Planning Board, this the 16th day of May, 2023. Attest:

Dean Ward, Planning Board Chairman

## Cameron West, Planner

## STAFF REPORT

Prepared by Cameron West, Planner

E Interstate Service Road (SUP2301)
Type of Request: Special Use Permit
Meeting Dates
Planning Board on May 16, 2023
City Council on June 13, 2023

## Contact Information

Cone Commercial Real Estate
2964 Hardman Court NE, Atlanta, GA 30305
(404) 394-4522,
ctyler@conecommercial.com

## Summary

This is a request for a Special Use Permit for Trucking or Freight Terminal, Storage, Repair, Wash, or Stop for the property located at 0 E Interstate Service Rd. The site is currently vacant. The site is approximately 5.547 acres. The plan would permit up to 128 Trailer Parking Spots. The layout would be accessed off of E Interstate Service Rd. A landscaping buffer has been proposed along the extent of the property. A "type D" buffer is required abutting neighboring properties and "street yard" buffers are required along pieces abutting the street.


## Location

0 E Interstate Service Road GPIN: 8884402904

Current Zoning
Industrial (Light Industrial)
(I-1)
Proposed Zoning
Industrial (Light Industrial)
(I-1)
Overlay District
N/A

## Surrounding Zoning

I-2 (Heavy Industrial)
Surrounding Land Uses
Office and Manufacturing
Size
5.547 acres

Public Water \& Sewer
N/A
Floodplain
No
Staff Recommendation
Approval

## Conformity to The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan (GCP) and Other Adopted Plans

## Applicable Strategies;

- 2.3.2: Prepare Development Strategies for Interchange Areas. Prepare and implement strategies to promote business development around the City's three interstate interchanges.
- 2.4.2: Promote Industrial Redevelopment. Encourage reinvestment and intensification at existing industrial sites. Encourage redevelopment of existing buildings and infrastructure for industrial growth
- 2.4.3: Freight Corridors. Encourage freight-oriented industrial development to locate where it can maximize access to major freight routes, including l-40/85 and state highways.


## DRAFT Findings of Fact and Conclusions

In granting a special use permit, the City Council shall find that all of the six conditions listed below have been met, or it shall be denied. Staff has prepared the following DRAFT findings of fact for each of the six conditions. These findings should be modified by the Council as it considers its decision.

1. All applicable regulations of the zoning district in which the use is proposed are complied with.

- The property is zoned I-1 , a Trucking or Freight Terminal, Storage, Repair, Wash, or Stop is permitted only with a special use permit, or a rezoning to $C-I$.

2. Conditions specific to each use, identified by the Development Ordinance, are complied with.

- A preliminary site plan showing the location, dimensions, and sites within the development has been supplied.
- There is a proposed shares ingress/egress with the adjoining property.
- A traffic impact analysis/study has been provided to city and NCDOT staff. Neither department has any concerns.

3. The use will not materially endanger the public health or safety if located where proposed and developed according to the plan as submitted.

- Permitting a truck storage facility on this site will not materially endanger the public health or safety.

4. The use will not substantially injure the value of adjoining property or that the use is a public necessity.

- Permitting a truck storage facility on this site will not substantially injure the value of adjoining property.

5. The location and character of the use if developed according to the plan as submitted will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general conformity with the plan of development for the Graham planning area.

- The plan is located in an industrial section of Graham, and is in general conformity with The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan.

6. Satisfactory provision has been made for the following, when applicable: vehicle circulation, parking and loading, service entrances and areas, screening, utilities, signs and lighting, and open space.

- The sketch plan submitted indicates general compliance with these requirements. The Graham TRC will require conformance with the City of Graham Ordinances prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.


## Staff Recommendation

Based on The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan and the City of Graham Development Ordinance, staff recommends approval of the Special Use Permit. The following supports this recommendation:

- The development furthers goals of The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan and is in conformance with the Mixed Use Commercial development type.
- The development meets all six conditions required by Section 10.144 of the Development Ordinance.




## Application for SPECIAL USE PERMIT

P.O. Drawer 357

201 South Main Street
Graham, NC 27253
(336) 570.6705

Fax (336) 570-6703
www.cityofgraham.com
Uses shown as "S" in the City of Graham Development Ordinance, Section 10.135 Table of Permitted Uses, require a Special Use Permit before the use will be permitted in the zoning district. Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult with the city Planner to understand the requirements for the proposed special use and the information that will be needed as part of this application.

## Site

Street Address: E, Inserestate servee Ro. Tax Map\#: 140703 GPIN: 8884402904 Current Zoning District(s): 工a
Overlay District, if applicable:
$\square$ Historic $\square$ SMain St/Hwy 87E Harden St/Hwy 54 current use: Vecant Geass FIELD/ WloDAS Property Owner: MARTIN PROfegties Acnle, ILC Mailing Address: Po Box 100 City, State, zip: HAW RIVER NC 27258 Phone \# $\qquad$
Email: $\qquad$

## Applicant and Project Contact

Name: Cave Commorcial hea Estors
$\square$ Property Owner Other DEVELOPER
Maling Address: 2964 HACOMAN CT. City, state, zip: ATLANTA GA. 30Z05 Phone \# $404-394-4522$
Email: ctyler@Conecommerciad.com
I certify that all information furnished is true to the best of my knowledge. I have provided all information needed to demonstrate that all requirements of the proposed special use will be met.


## Proposed Special Use

Proposed Use (from Sec. 10.135 Table of Permitted Uses): PA을N LoT Trucking or Freight Terminal, Storage, Repair, wash or stopCheck if this use is also listed in
Section 10.149 Special uses listed
This application must include sufficient information to demonstrate that all requirements of the proposed special use will be met. Check which of the following are submitted with this application:
VPreliminary Site Plan
Descriptive information

## SPECIAL USE PERMIT To

 PARK TRACTOR TRAILERS IN AN I-I ZONETrucking or Freight Terminal, Storage, Repair, wash or stop

Additional sheets of Descriptive Information Because applications for a Special Use Permit go through the publit hearing process, applicants are encouraged to contact neighboring property owners prior to the public hearing.

## Other Requirements

NCDOT Driveway Permit, if a new or relocated driveway is proposed on a NCOOT rood, or for existing driveways if the use of the property is changing

## MEMORANDUM

To: Josh Johnson, P.E., City of Graham Cameron West, City of Graham<br>From: Earl Lewellyn, P.E., Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.<br>Date: March 28, 2023<br>Subject: Graham Truck Parking Facility - Traffic Impact Memo



A trailer storage facility is proposed to be constructed on the south side of E. Interstate Service Road and west of E. Gilbreath Street in Graham, North Carolina. As currently envisioned, the facility is assumed to include up to 125 trailer parking spaces and to be accessed via two driveways onto E. Interstate Service Road. The facility is expected to provide a location for trucks to drop off or pick up trailers for mid-to-long-term storage. It is not expected to serve as a stopping area for truck drivers to rest or sleep between shifts. Project build-out is anticipated in 2024.

## Study Area

This analysis includes capacity analyses of the following intersection:

- E. Interstate Service Road - Site Driveway


## Existing and Background Volume Development

To obtain existing traffic volumes, turning movement counts were collected at the study intersection during the AM peak hour (7:00 - 9:00 AM) and PM peak hour (4:00-6:00 PM) in February 2023. Turning movement count data is attached to this memo. To obtain projected (2024) background traffic volumes, the existing traffic volumes were grown at a $2 \%$ annual rate to the 2024 build-out year to account for ambient growth.

## Site Traffic Generation

Since the ITE Trip Generation Manual does not include a land use matching the proposed facility's description, daily traffic counts were performed at two similar facilities in North Carolina to assess the anticipated trip generation characteristics of the proposed project. The traffic count data from these facilities is attached to this memo. Using this data, a trip generation rate per trailer parking space was calculated for the daily, AM peak hour, and PM peak hour traffic conditions as shown in Table 1.

## Kimley»"Horn

| Table 1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Generation Rates (trips per parking space) - based on Local Data |  |  |  |
| Condition | Rate (trips/space) | Percent In | Percent Out |
| Daily | 1.09 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| AM Peak Hour | 0.11 | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| PM Peak Hour | 0.13 | $23 \%$ | $77 \%$ |

These rates were applied to the proposed facility to determine its trip generation potential, which is shown in Table 2 below.

| Table 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Generation (vehicles) - based on Local Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Intensity | Daily |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| Trailer Parking Facility | 125 spaces | 68 | 68 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 12 |

## Site Traffic Distribution

The proposed generated trips were assigned to the roadway network based on a review of surrounding land uses and existing traffic patterns:

- $55 \%$ to/from the east on E. Interstate Service Road
- $45 \%$ to/from the west on E. Interstate Service Road


## Build-out Traffic Volumes

To obtain the projected (2024) build-out traffic volumes, the projected site traffic was added to the projected (2024) background traffic. Traffic volume development calculations are shown on the intersection data sheet attached to this memo.

## Capacity Analysis

Synchro intersection level-of-service (LOS) reports are attached. The levels-of-service at the study intersection are summarized in Table 3 below.

| Table 3 <br> Level-of-Service Summary |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | AM Peak Hour <br> LOS (Delay) | PM Peak Hour <br> LOS (Delay) |
| E Interstate Service Road - Site Driveway (Unsignalized) |  |  |
| Existing (2023) Traffic | NB - A (8.9) | NB - A (8.9) |
| Build-out (2024) Traffic | NB - B (10.0) | NB - B (10.2) |

As shown in Table 3, the study intersection is expected to operate at acceptable LOS under projected build-out traffic demands. SimTraffic simulations indicate that no queuing issues are

## Kimley»Horn

expected at the study intersection. To the degree practical given current ROW and geometric constraints, proposed site driveways and the E. Interstate Service Road - E. Gilbreath Street intersection should be designed/modified to accommodate anticipated design vehicle turning movements. No additional roadway improvements are recommended as part of the proposed development.

Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at (919) 6535874 or earl.lewellyn@kimley-horn.com.

Attachments: Turning Movement Count Data, Trip Generation Calculations, Intersection Data Sheet, Synchro Output

## Summary of Turning M ovement Counts - from Traffic Count on iOS

| Study: | Graham Truck Parking AM Count |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time: | AM Peak Hour |
| Date: | Tuesday February 28, 2023 |
| Observer: | Alex Gerondelis |
| Location: | Graham, NC |
| Weather: | Sunny |



| Light Trucks | Driveway Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Eastbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Westbound |  |  | Total All | Hourly Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 |  |


| Heavy Trucks | Driveway Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Eastbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Westbound |  |  | Total All | Hourly Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  |

Summary of Turning Movement Counts - from Traffic Count on iOS

| Study: | Graham Truck Parking PM Count |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time: | PM Peak Hour |
| Date: | Tuesday February 28, 2023 |
| Observer: | Alex Gerondelis |
| Location: | Graham, NC |
| Weather: | Sunny |



| Light Trucks | Driveway Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service RoadEastbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Westbound |  |  |  | Hourly Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Total All |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 |  |


| Heavy Trucks | Driveway Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Eastbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Westbound |  |  |  | Hourly Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Total All |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |  |


| Graham Truck Parking <br> Table 1 - Trip Generation (Local Data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Intensity |  | Daily |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Trailer Park Facility | 125 | spaces | 136 | 68 | 68 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 16 | 4 | 12 |


| 5035 Odd Walkertown Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour of the Generator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| All Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips | 229 | 117 | 112 | 24 | 13 | 11 | 27 | 6 | 21 |
| Approx. Parking Spaces | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Trip Rate per Parking Space | 109 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips | 57 | 27 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Approx. Parking Spaces | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Trip Rate per Parking Space | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Heavy Vehides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips | 172 | 90 | 82 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 18 |
| Approx. Parking Spaces | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Trip Rate per Parking Space | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.09 |


| 4710 Chesapeake Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour of the Generator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| All Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips | 54 | 27 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Approx. Parking Spaces | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Trip Rate per Parking Space | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips | 29 | 15 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Approx. Parking Spaces | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Trip Rate per Parking Space | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Heavy Vehides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips | 25 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Approx. Parking Spaces | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 |
| Trip Rateper Parking Space | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |

## INTERSECTION ANALYSIS SHEET

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AM In | AM Out | PM In | PM Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: Graham Truck Par |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | w Trips: | 8 | 6 | 4 | 12 |
| Location: Graham, NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | y Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ct. Date $\quad$ February 28, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N/S Street: Site Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  | nual | th Rate: | 2.0\% |  | ng Year: | 2023 |
| E/W Street: E. Interstate Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor: | 0.020 |  | out Year: | 2024 |
|  |  | state Servi <br> Eastbound |  |  | state Servi Westbound |  |  | te Driveway orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Description | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| 2023 Traffic Count | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Balancing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2023 Existing Traffic | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (0.02 per year) | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| 2024 Background Growth | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2024 Background Traffic | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Project Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Assignment Inbound | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% | 45\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Inbound Project Traffic | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Assignment Outbound | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% | 0\% | 45\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Outbound Project Traffic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Traffic | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2024 Buildout Total | 0 | 45 | 4 | 4 | 55 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Approach Percent Impact (vs. Existing) |  | 9.1\% |  |  | 7.4\% |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |


| PM PEAK HOUR PM PHF $=0.80$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E. Interstate Service Road Eastbound |  |  | E. Interstate Service Road Westbound |  |  | Site Driveway <br> Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Description | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| 2023 Traffic Count | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Balancing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2023 Existing Traffic | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (0.02 per year) | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| 2024 Background Growth | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2024 Background Traffic | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Project Traffic <br> Percent Assignment Inbound Inbound Project Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% | 45\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Assignment Outbound Outbound Project Traffic | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% | 0\% | 45\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Project Traffic | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2024Buildout TotalApproach Percent Impact (vs. Existing) | 0 | 66 | 2 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 3.1\% |  |  | 5.0\% |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |
| Overall Percent Impact (vs. Buildout) $13.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3/2/23 |



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 16.1\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 44 | 4 | 4 | 54 | 4 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 44 | 4 | 4 | 54 | 4 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 4 | 4 | 60 | 4 | 4 |




## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 15.4\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15




## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 16.2\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 45 | 4 | 4 | 55 | 4 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 45 | 4 | 4 | 55 | 4 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 100 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 100 |
| Mvmt Flow | 50 | 4 | 4 | 61 | 4 | 4 |




## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 15.5\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15



PLANNING BOARD
Recommendation \& Statement of Consistency

Per NCGS 160A-383, zoning regulations shall be made in accordance with an adopted comprehensive plan and any other officially adopted plan that is applicable. The Planning Board shall advise and comment on whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the "The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan" and any other officially adopted plan that is applicable. The Planning Board shall provide a written recommendation to the City Council that addresses plan consistency and other matters as deemed appropriate by the Planning Board, but a comment by the Planning Board that a proposed amendment is inconsistent with the "The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan" shall not preclude consideration or approval of the proposed amendment by the City Council.

## E Interstate Service Road Truck Storage

 (SUP2301)Type of Request
Special Use Permit

## Meeting Dates

Planning Board on May 16, 2023
City Council on June 13, 2023
$\square$ I move to recommend APPROVAL of the application as presented.
$\square$ I move to recommend APPROVAL with the following conditions:

- [Insert additional or other conditions]I move to recommend DENIAL.I move to adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as presented in the staff report.I move to adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law presented in the staff report with the following revisions:
$\square$ The application is consistent with The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan.
$\square$ The application is not fully consistent with The Graham 2035 Comprehensive Plan.
This report reflects the recommendation of the Planning Board, this the $16^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2023.
Attest:

Dean Ward, Planning Board Chairman

Debbie Jolly, Secretary

